arXiv:1606.09390v1 [quant-ph] 30 Jun 2016

The structure of product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$

Xilin Tang[1], **Yanna Liu and Ze Gu**

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510640, P.R. China

E-mail: xilintang2016@sina.com, mzyanna@163.com, guze528@sina.com

Abstract In this paper, we mainly characterize the structure of product bases of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$. It gives an answer to the conjecture in case of $d = 2n$ proposed by McNulty et al in 2016. As the application of the result, we obtain all the product bases of a bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$. It is helpful to review the structure of all the product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$, which given by McNulty et al.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen (EPR) [1] first highlighted the important feature of quantum mechanics which we now call **entanglement**, that is, a quantum state $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{H}_A \bigotimes \mathbb{H}_B$ can not be represented in the form $|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_A\rangle \otimes |\varphi_B\rangle$, where $|\varphi_A\rangle \in \mathbb{H}_A$, $|\varphi_B\rangle \in \mathbb{H}_B$. Otherwise, a quantum state is unentangled in a bipartite system, we call it a **separable state** (or **product state**).

Definition 1.1. (see [2]) A vector $|v\rangle$ in the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$ is called a pure product vector if it is a vector of the form $|v_1\rangle \otimes |v_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |v_m\rangle$, where $|v_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Definition 1.2. (Definition 1 [3]) An orthonormal basis B of a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^d = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}^{d_i}$ with dimension $d = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_m$ is a product basis if each element in B takes a pure product vector.

Two orthonormal bases $\{|a_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ and $\{|b_j\rangle \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ of a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^d$ are **mutually unbiased (MU)** if $|\langle a_i|b_j\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{d}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$. The study for MU bases is attractive in recent years since MU bases play important roles in quantum communications. It is known that

[1]Corresponding author. Supported in part by the NNSF of China (No. 11571119).

the number of MU bases of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^d$ is less than or equal to $d+1$ [4]. In particular, the maximum number can be reached if $d = p^n$ [5], where p is a prime number, $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}_+$ denotes the set of positive integers. However, whether the bound can be reached for a composite number d is still an open problem if $d \geq 6$. In particular, it is not known if there exist more than three MUBs in dimension 6. Besides, the three MUBs in dimension 6 are the forms of product bases. Based on this situation, many researchers began to study the MU product bases (MUPBs) [3, 6, 7]. DiVincenzo and Terhal [8] introduced the product bases. McNulty and Weigert [6] discussed all the product bases in $d = 4, 6$. Clearly, a basis of subsystem $\mathbb{H}_A$ tensors a basis of subsystem $\mathbb{H}_B$ constitutes a basis of the bipartite system $\mathbb{H}_A \bigotimes \mathbb{H}_B$. Naturally, we want to know whether the product bases of a bipartite system can be grouped into the bases of two subsystems. McNulty et al [3] proposed a conjecture about the structure of product bases of a bipartite system as follows.

Conjecture 1.3. *The set $B = \{|a_i, b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, d = d_1 d_2\}$ is an orthonormal product basis of the space $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$ if and only if the d vectors $|a_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ and the d vectors $|b_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ can be grouped into d_2 orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^{d_1}$ and d_1 orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^{d_2}$.*

The sufficiency is not true by the following:

Example 1.4. Let $B = \{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes |b_1^\perp\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle \otimes |b_2^\perp\rangle\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, where $rank\{|x\rangle, |y\rangle\} = 2$ for all distinct $|x\rangle, |y\rangle \in \{|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle\}$ or $|x\rangle, |y\rangle \in \{|b_1\rangle, |b_2\rangle, |b_1^\perp\rangle, |b_2^\perp\rangle\}$. Obviously, the set B satisfies the condition of Conjecture 1.3. However, B is not an orthonormal product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we characterize the structure of product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and obtain the Main theorem. This shows that the first components and the second components of product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be grouped into n orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and 2 orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^n$ respectively, which answers the modified conjecture in case of $d = 2n$. In Section 3, we review all the product bases in $d = 4$ and $d = 6$ as application of the Main theorem. At last, we investigate that all product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and obtain at least $p(n) + 1$ types in the last section. On the base of this paper, we will characterize the structure of product bases of $\mathbb{C}^m \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ in sequel.

2 The structure of product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$

In this section, we show that the first components and the second components of product bases of the bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be grouped into n orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and 2 orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Remark 2.1. Clearly, the product basis $B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, d = mn\}$ of a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^m \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies the following conditions: $\langle a_i|a_j\rangle = 0$ or $\langle b_i|b_j\rangle = 0$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ be a product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$. Then for each $|a_i\rangle$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$, there exists $|a_j\rangle$ such that $\langle a_i|a_j\rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary. Then $\langle b_i|b_j\rangle = 0$ for all j, $j \neq i$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$. Let V and W be the subspaces generated by $|b_i\rangle$ and $\{|b_j\rangle \mid j \neq i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}\}$. Then $W = V^{\perp}$. Clearly, $|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes V$ and $|a_j\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes W$ for all j, $j \neq i$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$. This shows that $\{|a_j\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \mid j \neq i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}\}$ is linearly dependent, which is impossible.

Therefore, we obtain our result. □

By this lemma, the product basis $B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be rearranged in the following form:

$$\{|a_i\rangle \otimes A(a_i), |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes A(a_i^{\perp}) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\},$$

where

$$A(a_i) = \{|b_j\rangle \mid |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \in B, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\},$$
$$A(a_i^{\perp}) = \{|b_j\rangle \mid |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b_j\rangle \in B, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Given a product basis $B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes A(a_i), |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes A(a_i^{\perp}) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ as above. Then the cardinalities of $A(a_i)$ and $A(a_i^{\perp})$ are equal, i.e., $|A(a_i)| = |A(a_i^{\perp})| = m_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i = n$.*

Proof. According to the orthogonality, we find that the elements of $A(a_i)$ are orthogonal to the elements of $A(a_j)$ and the elements of $A(a_j^{\perp})$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ and $i \neq j$. Besides, the elements of $A(a_i)$ are mutually orthogonal and the elements of $A(a_i^{\perp})$ are also mutually orthogonal. Let $|A(a_i)| = m_i$, $|A(a_i^{\perp})| = n_i$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. So, we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i \leq n$, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \leq n$, $n_j + \sum_{i \neq j} m_i \leq n$

and $m_j + \sum_{i\neq j} n_i \leq n$ for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i = 2n$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i = n$. Let U_i and V_i be the subspaces generated by $A(a_i)$ and $A(a_i^{\perp})$ respectively. Then $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} U_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$, where the symbol $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} U_i$ means that U_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ are mutually orthogonal. From the orthogonality, we obtain $U_i \subseteq V_i$. Dually, $V_i \subseteq U_i$. So, $U_i = V_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Consequently, $m_i = n_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. □

Conversely, let $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$, A_i and B_i are the orthonormal bases of V_i, $|a_i\rangle$ and $|a_i^{\perp}\rangle$ are the states in $\mathbb{C}^2$, where $|a_i^{\perp}\rangle$ is the unique state orthogonal to $|a_i\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Then, it is easy to check that the set $\{|a_i\rangle \otimes A_i, |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes B_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ constitutes an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$. Combining Lemma 2.2 with Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. ***(Main theorem)*** *The set $B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ is an orthonormal product basis of the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ if and only if the d vectors $|a_i\rangle$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ and the d vectors $|b_i\rangle$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, d)$ can be grouped into n orthonormal bases $B_i(2)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ and 2 orthonormal bases $B_i(n)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, respectively, where $B_i(2) = \{|a_i\rangle, |a_i^{\perp}\rangle\}$, $|B_i(2)| = m_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$, $B_1(n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A(a_i)$, $B_2(n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A(a_i^{\perp})$, $A(a_i)$ and $A(a_i^{\perp})$ are the orthonomal bases of the subspace V_i and $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$.*

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bipartite system. If $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$ and $dim V_i = m_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, then $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r)$ is said to be a right type of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$.

3 The product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$

McNulty and Weigert [6] discussed all the product bases of a complex vector space in dimension 4 and 6. However, it is difficult for us to go further in high dimension spaces by their's method. Moreover, they also proposed the definition of local equivalent transformations**(LETs)**. LETs are defined by the requirement that they preserve the product structure of all states. In this section, we review the product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$ from the perspective of Main theorem.

3.1 The product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$

Lemma 3.1. ***(Lemma 1 [6])*** *Any orthonormal product basis of the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is equivalent to a member of one of the families*

$$I_0 = \{|j_z, k_z\rangle\},$$

$$I_1 = \{|0_z, k_z\rangle, |1_z, \widehat{u}k_z\rangle\},$$

$$I_2 = \{|j_z, 0_z\rangle, |\widehat{v}j_z, 1_z\rangle\},$$

where the operators $\widehat{u}$, $\widehat{v} \in SU(2)$ act on the space C^2 such that the states $|0_z\rangle$ and $\widehat{u}|0_z\rangle$, as well as the states $|0_z\rangle$ and $\widehat{v}|0_z\rangle$, are skew.

McNulty and Weigert's work are a bit not concise. Next, we apply the Main theorem to describe all the product bases in dimension 4. Any orthonormal product basis of the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ must be of the form $\{|a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. From the Theorem 2.4, we know that $\{|a_i\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ can be grouped into 2 orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore, the product basis must be one of the following cases:

Case 1. $\{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a_1^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |c_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle, |a_2^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |c_2\rangle\}$, where $|a_1\rangle \neq |a_2\rangle$ and $\langle a_1|a_2\rangle \neq 0$. In this case, from the orthogonality, we obtain that $|l_1\rangle = |l_2^{\perp}\rangle$ $(l = b, c)$ and $|b_j\rangle = |c_j\rangle$ $(j = 1, 2)$. Thus, the product basis is of the form

$$B_0 = \{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a_1^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_1^{\perp}\rangle, |a_2^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b_1^{\perp}\rangle\}.$$

Case 2. $\{|a\rangle \otimes \{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^{\perp}\rangle\}, |a^{\perp}\rangle \otimes \{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^{\perp}\rangle\}\}$. If $\{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^{\perp}\rangle\}$ is different from $\{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^{\perp}\rangle\}$, we obtain that the product basis is of the form

$$B_1 = \{|a\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a\rangle \otimes |b_1^{\perp}\rangle, |a^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle, |a^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b_2^{\perp}\rangle\}.$$

Otherwise, we have the product basis is a direct product basis, which is

$$B_2 = \{|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle, |a\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp}\rangle, |a^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b\rangle, |a^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp}\rangle\}.$$

The right type is (1,1) in case 1 and the right type is (2,0) in case 2. Because of the same right type of B_1 and B_2, we can consider the left type similarly. The left type of B_1 is (1,1), but the left type of B_2 is (2,0). We also know that the

left type of B_0 is (2,0). The types of the construction given above are the same as McNulty and Weigert's work. □

From Corollary 1 of [3], we know that, up to local equivalence transformations, there exists a unique triple of MUPBs in dimension 4 as follows,

$$B_0' = \{|j_z^1\rangle \otimes |j_z^2\rangle\},$$

$$B_1' = \{|j_x^1\rangle \otimes |j_x^2\rangle\},$$

$$B_2' = \{|j_y^1\rangle \otimes |j_y^2\rangle\},$$

here $\{|j_b^r\rangle \mid j = 0, 1\}, b = z, x, y$, are, for each $r = 1, 2$, the eigenstates of the three Pauli operators in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The three MUPBs are corresponding to the product basis B_2.

3.2 The product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$

Lemma 3.2. ***(Lemma 2 [6])*** *Any orthonormal product basis of the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$ is equivalent to a member of one of the families*

$$I_0 = \{|j_z, J_z\rangle\},$$

$$I_1 = \{|0_z, J_z\rangle, |1_z, \widehat{U} J_z\rangle\},$$

$$I_2 = \{|j_z, 0_z\rangle, |\widehat{u}0_z, 1_z\rangle, |\widehat{u}0_z, 2_z\rangle, |\widehat{u}1_z, \widehat{V}1_z\rangle, |\widehat{u}1_z, \widehat{V}2_z\rangle\},$$

$$I_3 = \{|j_z, 0_z\rangle, |\widehat{v}j_z, 1_z\rangle, |\widehat{w}j_z, 2_z\rangle\},$$

with $j = 0, 1$ and $J = 0, 1, 2$; the operators $\widehat{u}, \widehat{v}, \widehat{w} \in SU(2)$ and $\widehat{U}, \widehat{V} \in SU(3)$ act on C^2 and C^3, respectively, with $\widehat{V}$ leaving the state $|0_z\rangle$ invariant; the parameters of the operators $\widehat{u}, \ldots, \widehat{V}$ are chosen in such a way that no product basis occurs more than once.

We next describe all the product bases in dimension 6 by the Main theorem. The procedure and results are a slight different from the research by McNulty and Weigert. Consider an orthonormal product basis of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$:

Case 1. The right type is (1,1,1): The form of the product basis must be $\{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b_1\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes |c_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_2\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle \otimes |c_2\rangle, |a_3\rangle \otimes |b_3\rangle, |a_3^\perp\rangle \otimes |c_3\rangle\}$, where

$|a_i\rangle \neq |a_j\rangle$ and $\langle a_i|a_j\rangle \neq 0$ for any $i, j \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $i \neq j$. The orthogonality condition implies that both $\{|b_1\rangle, |b_2\rangle, |b_3\rangle\}$ and $\{|c_1\rangle, |c_2\rangle, |c_3\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^3$. Since for each i, $i = 1,2,3$ the subspaces generated by $|b_i\rangle$ and $|c_i\rangle$ are the same one, it follows that $|b_i\rangle = |c_i\rangle$.

The form of this kind basis is as follows:

$$B_0 = \{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes |b\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b^\perp\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle \otimes |b^\perp\rangle, |a_3\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle, |a_3^\perp\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}.$$

Case 2. The right type is (2,1): The form of the product basis must be: $\{|a_1\rangle \otimes \{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^\perp\rangle\}, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes \{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^\perp\rangle\}, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b_3\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle \otimes |b_4\rangle\}$, where $\{|a_1\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle\}$ and $\{|a_2\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle\}$ are different orthonormal bases of a subspace V_1 in dimension 2, $|b_3\rangle$ and $|b_4\rangle$ generate the same subspace V_2 in dimension 1, V_1 and V_2 are orthogonal.

Thus, the product bases is of the following form (consults [6]):

$$B_1 = \{|a_1\rangle \otimes |b\rangle, |a_1\rangle \otimes |b^\perp\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes \widehat{V}|b\rangle, |a_1^\perp\rangle \otimes \widehat{V}|b^\perp\rangle, |a_2\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle, |a_2^\perp\rangle \otimes |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle\},$$

where $\widehat{V}$ is a unitary operator of V_1 defined by $\widehat{V}|b\rangle = \alpha|b\rangle + \beta|b^\perp\rangle$, $\widehat{V}|b^\perp\rangle = \overline{\beta}|b\rangle - \overline{\alpha}|b^\perp\rangle$, $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

Case 3. The right type is (3,0): In this case, the product basis is

$$\{|a\rangle \otimes \{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^\perp\rangle, |b_1^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}, |a^\perp\rangle \otimes \{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^\perp\rangle, |b_2^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}\}.$$

If $\{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^\perp\rangle, |b_1^{\perp\perp}\rangle\} \neq \{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^\perp\rangle, |b_2^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}$, then the product basis is of the form

$$B_2 = \{|a\rangle \otimes \{|b_1\rangle, |b_1^\perp\rangle, |b_1^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}, |a^\perp\rangle \otimes \{|b_2\rangle, |b_2^\perp\rangle, |b_2^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}\}.$$

If it is not so, then the product basis is a direct product basis

$$B_3 = \{|a\rangle \otimes \{|b\rangle, |b^\perp\rangle, |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}, |a^\perp\rangle \otimes \{|b\rangle, |b^\perp\rangle, |b^{\perp\perp}\rangle\}\}.$$

If we look into the left type in case 2, then we find that there exist some difficulties. If $\widehat{V}|b\rangle = |b\rangle$, $\widehat{V}|b^\perp\rangle = |b^\perp\rangle$ or $\widehat{V}|b\rangle = |b^\perp\rangle$, $\widehat{V}|b^\perp\rangle = |b\rangle$, then the left type of B_1 is (2,0). If $\widehat{V}|b\rangle$ is not so, then the left type of $\widehat{V}|b\rangle$ is (1,1). However, the left type of $|b^{\perp\perp}\rangle$ is (2,0). In case 3, the left type corresponding B_2 is not well-defined. Due to this fact, we only consider the right type of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^3$. □

It is still unknown if there exist more than three MUBs of a complex vector space with dimension 6. A possible choice [7] of three MUBs is to take the products

$B_0' = I_6 = I_2 \otimes I_3$, $B_1' = B_{11} \otimes B_{12}$, $B_2' = B_{21} \otimes B_{22}$, where I_2 and I_3 denote identity operators and

$$B_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, B_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_{21} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}, B_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$. The three MUBs are corresponding to the product basis B_3.

4 The product bases of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$

The analysis in Section 2 indicates that the right type of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is corresponsding to a partition of the positive integer n. A partition of a positive integer n is a representation of writing n as a sum of several positive integers. That is, if $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ are positive integers and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_k$, then the representation $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ is called a partition of n with k parts. If there have no other restrictions on n_i and k, then we call it an unrestricted partition or partition simply. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of unrestricted partitions of n. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *A product basis of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is corresponding a partition of n and vice versa.*

Proof. By the Main theorem, if $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$, $A(a_i)$ and $A(a_i^{\perp})$ are the orthonomal bases of V_i for each i, then $\{|a_i\rangle \otimes A(a_i), |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes A(a_i^{\perp}) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ is the product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$, where $|a_i^{\perp}\rangle$ is the unique state orthogonal to $|a_i\rangle$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. We obtain that an orthonormal product basis of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ as follows:

$$B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes A(a_i), |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes A(a_i^{\perp}) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$$

where $|A(a_i)| = |A(a_i^{\perp})| = n_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i = n$, $1 \leq r \leq n$. In other words, given a partition of n, there exists a corresponding product basis. □

Clearly, $n_1 = n$ is a partition of n. If we chose two orthogonal bases B_1 and B_2 of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$, then $B_1 = B_2$ or $B_1 \neq B_2$. The first case yields a direct product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$. So, we have

Theorem 4.2. *There are at least two product bases of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ whose right type is $(n, 0)$.*

Furthermore, we have

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r)$ be a right type of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$. If $m_i \geq 1$, then there exist two product bases $A_i^{k_i}$ $(k_i = 1, 2)$ of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes V_i$ such that $\{A_i^{k_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ forms a product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$.*

The analysis indicates that there is no product basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ whose the left type is (1,1) and the right type is $\underbrace{(1, 1, \ldots, 1)}_{n}$. That is, if all of n bases are different, then

$$B = \{|a_i\rangle \otimes |A^{(i)}\rangle, |a_i^{\perp}\rangle \otimes |B^{(i)}\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\},$$

where $|a_i^{\perp}\rangle$ is the unique state orthogonal to $|a_i\rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, both $\{|A^{(i)}\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{|B^{(i)}\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ are the orthonormal bases of C^n. From the orthogonal conditions, we obtain that $\{|A^{(i)}\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} = \{|B^{(i)}\rangle \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore, if we consider the left type of B, then the left type of B must be (2,0). In other words, there must exist product bases of the form of the left type is (2,0) and the right type is $\underbrace{(1, 1, \ldots, 1)}_{n}$.

How many different product bases are there in $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$? A rough estimate answer is, there are at least $p(n) + 1$ types.

From Corollary 4 of [3], we know that any triple of MUPBs of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^n$ must have the following form

$$B_0' = \{|j_z\rangle \otimes |G(j_z)\rangle\},$$

$$B_1' = \{|j_x\rangle \otimes |G(j_x)\rangle\},$$

$$B_2' = \{|j_y\rangle \otimes |G(j_y)\rangle\},$$

up to local equivalence transformations, here $\{|j_b\rangle \mid j = 0, 1\}, b = z, x, y$, are the eigenstates of the three Pauli operators of $\mathbb{C}^2$, and $G(j_b)$ are bases of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for each

j_b, such that the three set $\{G(j_b) \mid j = 0, 1\}$ are mutually unbiased. The three MUPBs are corresponding to the direct product basis.

References

[1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935)

[2] N. Alon and L. Lovász, Unextendible product bases. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, **95**(1), 169-179 (2001)

[3] D. McNulty, B. Pammer and S. Weigert, Mutually Unbiased Product Bases for Multiple Qudits. Journal of Mathematical Physics, **57**, 032202 (2016)

[4] W. K. Wootters and B. D. Fields, Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements, Ann. Physics, **191**, 363-381, (1989)

[5] A. Klappenecker and M. Rötteler, Finite Fields and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 2948 (Springer, Berlin, 2004), pp. 137-144.

[6] D. McNulty and S. Weigert, All mutually unbiased product bases in dimension 6. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **45**(13), 135307 (2012)

[7] M. Wieśniak, T. Paterek and A. Zeilinger, Entanglement in mutually unbiased bases, New Journal of Physics, **13**(5), 053047 (2011)

[8] D. P. DiVincenzo and B. M. Terhal, Product Bases in Quantum Information Theory [R]. **(**2000)